中国科学院软件研究所学术年会'2022 暨计算机科学国家重点实验室开放周



图数据库系统随机差分测试

郑莹莹, 窦文生, 汪钇丞, 秦政, 汤磊, 高钰, 王栋, 王伟, 魏峻 Finding Bugs in Gremlin-Based Graph Database Systems via Randomized Differential Testing

The 31th of ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis (ISSTA'22) 联系方式: 郑莹莹, zhengying14@otcaix.iscas.ac.cn **Graph Database Systems Reliable Data Access**

GDBs support efficient storage and queries for graph data.



Numerous application areas require GDBs return correct query results.



Bugs in GDBs

GDBs suffer from logic bugs, in which a query returns an unexpected result.

- hugegraph.schema().vertexLabel("vLabel").properties("prop") .nullableKeys("prop").create();
- hugegraph.schema().indexLabel("index").onV("vLabel").by(" prop").shard().ifNotExist().create();

No Testing Tools or Methods

Motivation

- **DBMS** testing tools and methods cannot be used to test GDB.
- **GDB** testing works cannot detect bugs.

Challenges

```
Vertex v1 = new Vertex("vLabel").property("prop", 5);
    Vertex v2 = new Vertex("vLabel").property("prop", 1);
    Vertex v3 = new Vertex("vLabel").property("prop", 3);
    addVertices(Arrays.asList(v1, v2, v3));
8
    g.V().has('prop', between(0,4).or(lt(2))).count();
                   {2} 🗸
       -- {3} 🗶
10
```



A real logic bug in HugeGraph.

Grand

3



- How to generate syntactically correct and valid query?
- How to solve the test oracle problem?

Found Bugs

21 found bugs in six widely-used GDBs

GDB	Detected	Confirmed	Fixed
Neo4j	3	2	1
OrientDB	1	0	0
JanusGraph	3	3	2
HugeGraph	9	9	3
TinkerGraph	3	3	1
ArcadeDB	2	1	0
Total	21	18	7

Logic bugs

Discrepancies

Bug Analysis

Summarize the found bugs into four categories according to root causes



- Incorrect logical implementation
- Non-robust handling on special values
- Lake of type coercion
- Lake of logic implementation

Instruction Coverage

Achieve coverage from 32% to 61% for query engines



TINKES